William J. Crawford Department of English, Northern Arizona University william.crawford@nau.edu

## Is L2 writing like native-English conversation?

Research on learner corpora has allowed second language acquisition researchers and teachers to gain a more accurate picture of the type of language used by English language learners (e.g., Granger, 1998, Granger, Hung, & Petch-Tyson, 2002, Sinclair, 2004). Many studies in this area have found evidence of the "spoken nature" of learner writing by reporting on an overuse of linguistic features reflective of spoken language (Biber and Reppen, 1998; Granger and Rayson, 1998; Petch-Tyson, 1998; Aijmer, 2002; Hinkel, 2002). Interestingly, while all of these studies use a corpus methodology to quantify a certain feature in the learner corpora, not all of the studies are based on comparable empirical analyses of spoken native-English corpora. For example, Hinkel (2002) reports that learner overuse of *be* copular verbs is reflective of spoken discourse but provides no empirical support to substantiate this claim. In fact, the *Longman grammar of spoken and written English* (LGSWE, 1999) finds *be* copular verbs to be most common in academic writing.

In the present paper, I take a complementary approach. Most studies have first identified overused features in learner corpora, and then interpreted those features relative to claimed patterns of use by native English speakers. In contrast, I first identify a set of features that are especially characteristic of native-English conversation based on prior corpus-based research, and then illustrate the extent to which these features are found in learner corpora. Specifically, using the *LGSWE* as a guide, this paper identifies 62 lexical items from four different grammatical categories (nouns, verbs, modals, adverbs) that are markedly more frequent in conversation. These features are then compared across three different learner corpora from the International Corpus of Learner English (Spanish, German, Finnish), as well as compared to a native corpus of student essays (The Louvain Corpus of Native English Essays), to indicate the extent to which learner language actually reflects the features of native-English conversation.

References:

- Aijmer, K. 2002. Modality in advanced Swedish learners' written interlanguage. In
  S.Granger, J. Hung, & S. Petch-Tyson (Eds.), *Computer learner corpora, second* language acquisition and foreign language acquisition (pp. 55-76). Philadelphia: John Benjamins.
- Biber, D, Johansson, S., Leech, G., Conrad, S., & Finegan, E. 1999. Longman grammar of spoken and written English. New York: Longman.
- Biber, D. and Reppen, R. 1998. Comparing native and learner perspectives on English grammar: A study of complement clauses. In S. Granger (Ed.), *Learner English on computer* (pp. 145-158). New York: Longman.
- Granger, S. 1998. Learner English on computer. New York: Longman.
- Granger, S. 2004. Computer learner corpus research: Current status and future prospects. In U. Connor & T. Upton (Eds.), *Applied Corpus Linguistics: A Multidimensional Perspective* (pp. 123-145). Atlanta, GA: Rodopi.
- Granger, S. and Rayson, P. Automatic profiling of learner texts. In S. Granger (Ed.), *LearnerEnglish on computer* (pp. 145-158). New York: Longman.
- Granger, S., Hung, J., and Petch-Tyson, S. 2002. *Computer learner corpora, second language acquisition and foreign language acquisition*. Philadelphia: John Benjamins.
- Hinkel, E. 2002. Second language writer's text: Linguistic and rhetorical features. Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Earlbaum.
- Sinclair, J. 2004. *How to use corpora in language teaching. Philadelphia: John Benjamins.*